

ADJUDICATOR PERFORMANCE REVIEW FORM

DAB Nomination No:

1. Feedback for the National Adjudicators' List (NAL) of the EPA from:	
Employer	
Contractor	

2. General Contract Information:		
<u>Employer</u>	<u>Engineer</u>	<u>Contractor</u>
Short description of works		
Contract Value:		
Time for completion:		

3. What Category of Adjudicator	Junior Adjudicator	Adjudicator	Senior Adjudicator
---------------------------------	--------------------	-------------	--------------------

4. Did a dispute arise where the Adjudicator had to become active:	Yes	No
--	-----	----

5. Did the Adjudicator present his decision within the contractual time frame:	Yes	No
--	-----	----

6. What type of dispute was it?		
Technical	- Construction technology	
	- Measurement/Payment	
Contractual	- Instruction / Extra Work	
	- Extension of Time	
	- Costs	
Value of dispute	N\$	

7. Did the adjudicator obtain advice / assistance from outside experts:			
	Technical	Yes	No
	Contractual	Yes	No

8. How was the Adjudicator's decision motivated:	very good	good	fair	poor	inacceptable
--	-----------	------	------	------	--------------

8. a) Was the Adjudicator's decision accepted by both parties:	Yes	No
--	-----	----

ADJUDICATOR PERFORMANCE REVIEW FORM

8. b) If not, who which party did not accept:	Disputant / Defendant	Employer / Contractor
--	-----------------------	-----------------------

8. c) Did one party issue a notice of dissatisfaction:	Yes	No
---	-----	----

If yes, which party:	Disputant / Defendant	Employer / Contractor
----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

8. d) If not, in which field was the Adjudicator's decision not acceptable:		
Technical	- Design	
	- Construction technology	
	- Measurement/Payment	
Contractual	- Instruction / Extra Work	
	- Extension of Time	
	- Costs	

9. How was the dispute finally resolved:	Amicably	Arbitration	Court
---	----------	-------------	-------

10. Comments / Proposals for Improvement of NAL's Service: